
THE NEXUS OF DISINFORMATION, ATTRIBUTION, AND ESCALATION: UNRAVELING THE COMPLEXITIES OF CYBER OPERATIONS AND WARFARE

Salome Davituliani¹

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.61446/ds.3.2024.8473>

Article History:

Received 15 September 2024

Accepted 20 October 2024

Published 25 December 2024

ABSTRACT

The nexus between disinformation, attribution, and escalation in cyber operations and warfare is a complex issue that poses unique risks to populations worldwide, especially vulnerable communities. This abstract provides a glimpse into the intricate web of interactions between disinformation, attribution, and escalation in the realm of cyber operations and warfare, with a specific focus on the ongoing Russian-Ukraine conflict. In an era where information is wielded as a potent weapon, understanding the dynamics of how false narratives are propagated, the challenges in accurately attributing cyber attacks, and the implications for the escalation of hostilities is crucial. The paper explores the multifaceted role of disinformation as a strategic tool, employed not only to deceive adversaries but also to manipulate public opinion and sow discord. It delves into the complexities of attribution, highlighting the hurdles in identifying the true originators of cyber operations amidst the use of proxies and sophisticated techniques. Furthermore, the study underscores the pivotal role of accurate attribution in preventing unintended escalation and miscalculations that may arise from misinterpreted actions. By examining the interplay of these elements, especially in the context of hybrid warfare, the abstract emphasizes the global implications of the nexus, extending beyond the immediate conflict zones. The research advocates for comprehensive strategies that integrate technological advancements, international cooperation, and a nuanced understanding of the geopolitical landscape to effectively address and mitigate the challenges posed by disinformation, attribution, and escalation in contemporary cyber warfare. It is crucial to analyze data, provide knowledge, and advocate for regulatory processes to protect vulnerable populations.

Key words: disinformation, attribution, escalation, cyber operations, Russian-Ukraine Conflict.

¹ Junker of Bachelor's Program in Informatics of LEPL-David Aghmashenebeli National Defence Academy of Georgia

INTRODUCTION

In the intricate landscape of cyber operations and warfare, a nexus of profound significance emerges as we explore the interconnected realms of disinformation, attribution, and escalation. This dynamic triad not only encapsulates the multifaceted nature of modern cyber conflicts but also underscores the intricate challenges faced by governments, organizations, and individuals in understanding, mitigating, and responding to the evolving threats in the digital domain. The interplay between deliberate misinformation, the elusive quest for attribution, and the potential for rapid escalation introduces a complex and often opaque dimension to cyber operations, necessitating a comprehensive examination of the intricate web woven by these interrelated elements. In this exploration, we embark on a journey to unravel the complexities inherent in the convergence of disinformation, attribution, and escalation within the context of cyber operations and warfare, seeking to comprehend the implications for security, diplomacy, and the very nature of conflict in our increasingly interconnected world. For further investigation, the first essential step is to provide feasible and accurate definitions for each term mentioned to have a profound grasp of the whole picture. Commencing with an examination of the historical context is essential, as it distinctly elucidates Russia's belligerent disposition towards both proximate and more distant nations. The presented cases serve as tangible manifestations, laying bare Russia's sustained engagement in cyber aggression over time.

MAIN PART

Looking at the history of Russian cyber operations, the Kremlin employs cyber means to engage in long- term competition with rivals. Before 2014, Moscow's juggernauts tended to concentrate on political warfare and spying. Operations in Estonia and Georgia were the most prominent. Massive denial- of- service operations sought to discipline Estonia in 2007 after the country moved the Russian monument known as the Citation Dogface. During the Russo-Georgian conflict of 2008, Russia leveraged cyberattacks to enable information operations (IO) against Georgia. Russian's IO aimed " to impact, disrupt, loose, or convert the decision- timber of adversaries and implicit adversaries while guarding(their) own. "

In a precursor of its military crusade to destroy Ukrainian critical structure, Moscow also used cyber operations to target Kyiv's power force. Following the illegal annexation of Crimea in 2014, advanced patient trouble(APT) groups similar as Sandworm were intertwined in the 2015 BlackEnergy crusade targeting Ukrainian power generation and distribution. While the attacks captured captions, they produced limited goods.² In 2017, Russian- linked groups

² <https://www.mandiant.com/resources/blog/ukraine-and-sandworm-team>

launched the NotPetya crusade, which produced goods that revealed over from the intended targets, Ukrainian companies, to affect global logistics.^{3 4}

Russia has also used cyber operations as a form of political warfare, using a blend of propaganda to centralize societies and impact political choices. Of note, these sweets included resemblant dislocation juggernauts seeking to deface websites and portray sympathizers for Ukraine as Nazis.⁵ This crusade was followed by the indeed more audacious attempt to undermine confidence in U.S. republic through the 2016 operations targeting the presidential election, where the goods are still battled. In 2018, U.S. Cyber Command used Russia's once geste as well as other pointers and warnings that Moscow was about to repeat its sweets as defense for launching a preemptive operation against the Internet Research Agency, a Russian propaganda and influence operation establishment, designed to avert attacks during the elections.⁶

More lately, Russian operations have combined a blend of sophisticated spying and felonious malware juggernauts. For utmost of 2020, the Russian hacking group APT29, or Cozy Bear, exploited a force chain vulnerability in the SolarWinds Orion program to exfiltrate data and digital tools from an expansive list of targets. (David Sanger, Nicole Perlroth, Eric Shmitt 2020) The operation raised alarm bells since neither the NSA nor major enterprises similar as Microsoft detected the intrusion and because it probably involved a combination of mortal intelligence and cyber operations to fit vicious law deep into waiters. In 2021, felonious actors known as DarkSide, probably linked to the Russian state, were successful in planting ransomware against Colonial Pipeline, the system that moves much of the energy used across the United States' East Coast.⁷

The term "attribution" is frequently used in the context of the Russia-Ukraine war to refer to the identification and assignment of responsibility for various actions, events, or cyberattacks. Determine the parties involved, their motivations, and the consequences of their actions. Attribution is critical in international conflicts because it clarifies responsibility and guides international responses. One example is the downing of Malaysia Airlines Flight MH17 in July 2014. The international investigation into the incident attributed the downing of the civilian airliner to a Buk surface-to-air missile system that was fired from an area controlled by pro-Russian separatists in Eastern Ukraine. The Joint Investigation Team (JIT), consisting

³ <https://news.bloomberglaw.com/privacy-and-data-security/mercks-1-4-billion-insurance-win-splits-cyber-from-act-of-war>

⁴ <https://www.wired.com/2016/03/inside-cunning-unprecedented-hack-ukraines-power-grid/>

⁵ <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2022/07/02/world/europe/ukraine-nazis-russia-media.html>

⁶ https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/us-cyber-command-operation-disrupted-internet-access-of-russian-troll-factory-on-day-of-2018-midterms/2019/02/26/1827fc9e-36d6-11e9-af5b-b51b7ff322e9_story.html

⁷ (David E. Sanger, Nicolo Perlroh 2021)

of investigators from Australia, Belgium, Malaysia, the Netherlands, and Ukraine, played a significant role in the attribution process.⁸

In the warfare, escalation refers to the process by which a conflict intensifies, typically involving an increase in the severity, scale, or scope of hostilities. It can manifest in various forms, such as a progression from low-intensity conflict to full-scale war, a rise in the level of military force employed, or an expansion of the conflict to new geographical or strategic dimensions. In the Russo-Ukraine war, the term "escalation" is pertinent to describe the dynamic shifts and developments in the conflict. Here are key aspects of how escalation is connected to the Russo-Ukraine war. One of the clear example of military escalation is conflict which began in 2014 as a territorial dispute between Russia and Ukraine, primarily centered around Crimea and eastern Ukraine. Over time, the conflict has witnessed periods of heightened military engagement, with both conventional and irregular forces involved.

Disinformation refers to the deliberate spread of false or misleading information with the intention to deceive, manipulate perceptions, and achieve specific strategic goals. In the context of the Russia-Ukraine war, disinformation has played a significant role, shaping narratives and influencing public opinion both within the countries involved and internationally. Disinformation campaigns target prominent individuals and organizations to help amplify their narratives. These secondary spreaders of disinformation narratives add perceived credibility to the messaging and help seed these narratives at the grassroots level while disguising their original source. Targets are often unaware that they are repeating a disinformation actors' narrative or that the narrative is intended to manipulate. The content is engineered to appeal to their and their follower's emotions, causing the influencers to become unwitting facilitators of disinformation campaigns.⁹

Between November 29, 2021, and May 9, 2022, the CSIS research team examined data from Ukrainian government sources and Microsoft reports to identify 47 publicly attributed cyber incidents associated with Russia's campaign during the first year of the war in Ukraine. This dataset provides a reliable account of these incidents, free from bias introduced by news accounts. However, it is important to note that these incidents form only a small but representative sample of the larger population of intrusions due to the covert nature of cyber operations.

Analyzing this data alongside the DCID 2.0 dataset, if cyber operations were primarily focused on intelligence gathering and shaping activities like deception, one would expect to observe this tendency especially during the early stages of the conflict in Ukraine. This implies that even though datasets like DCID 2.0 may represent a small fraction of total cyber incidents,

⁸ "Crash of Malaysia Airlines flight MH17" Report, Hague, 2015

⁹ www.cisa.gov/sites/default/files/publications/tactics-of-disinformation_508.pdf

they should still demonstrate an increase in frequency without a corresponding increase in severity during the initial phases of the 2022 conflict compared to prewar statistics. However, since pinpointing the exact start of a cyber campaign is challenging, there could be a lag in reporting resulting in spikes around major hostilities' commencement. When analyzing the style of Russian attacks, our research team observed that Russia's cyber activity during the war has been more focused on disruption rather than degradation, which aligns with their previous behavior. As depicted in Figure 2, when examining these cyber operations by type, Moscow has shown a preference for disruptive shaping activities and cyber espionage campaigns. During the initial months of the 2022 Ukraine invasion, disruptive incidents accounted for 57.4 percent of the total incidents, followed by espionage at 21.3 percent. This emphasis on disruptive operations differs from Russia's prewar conduct, which primarily emphasized espionage. However, it is noteworthy that degradative cyber operations never constituted a majority in both the prewar and war samples. It is important to note that similar to past instances, Russia's previous cyber operations failed to elicit any concessions from Ukraine. Additionally, no concessions were made by Ukraine throughout the duration analyzed in this study.

Recommendation 1: Establish Clear Attribution Processes, Increasing public-private partnerships - Develop robust and transparent processes for attributing cyber incidents to specific actors. Clarity in the attribution process is essential to avoid misattribution or the spread of disinformation. Governments and military organizations should establish well-defined methodologies that rely on a combination of technical analysis, intelligence gathering, and collaboration with international partners. Clear criteria for attribution should be established, and the findings should be communicated responsibly. Increasing public-private partnerships (PPP) to support cyber defense is a strategic approach to addressing the growing challenges posed by cyber threats. This collaboration involves cooperation between government entities and private-sector organizations to enhance the overall resilience of critical infrastructure, protect sensitive information, and strengthen the cybersecurity posture of nations

Recommendation 2: International Collaboration on Cyber Threat Intelligence - Foster international collaboration and information sharing on cyber threat intelligence. Cyber threats often transcend national borders, and collaboration is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the threat landscape. Establishing trusted channels for sharing threat intelligence among nations helps in validating findings, reducing the risk of misattribution, and facilitating a coordinated response to cyber incidents. International partnerships can contribute to a collective defense against cyber threats and promote stability in cyberspace. Increasing diplomatic engagement around cyber defense and shared intelligence is a crucial

strategy in addressing the global challenges posed by cyber threats. Diplomatic efforts can facilitate cooperation, information exchange, and the development of norms and agreements to enhance collective cybersecurity.

Recommendation 3: Engage in Crisis De-escalation Protocols - Develop and implement crisis de-escalation protocols to manage potential conflicts arising from cyber incidents. In the event of a cyber incident with potential attribution challenges, having clear protocols for de-escalation is crucial. Establishing communication channels, both direct and third-party mediated, can help in defusing tensions and preventing the situation from escalating into a broader conflict. Diplomatic engagement and crisis communication plans should be in place to address misunderstandings and provide an avenue for responsible dialogue.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the intricate interplay between disinformation, attribution, and escalation in the realm of cyber operations and warfare underscores the multifaceted challenges and complexities that governments, military entities, and cybersecurity professionals face in the digital age. This research has delved into the intricate web of issues surrounding the nexus of disinformation, attribution, and escalation, highlighting key insights and recommendations for navigating this dynamic landscape.

The analysis has demonstrated that the deliberate dissemination of false information, coupled with challenges in accurately attributing cyber incidents, poses a significant threat to national security, international relations, and the stability of cyberspace. Disinformation campaigns, often fueled by state and non-state actors, exploit vulnerabilities in information ecosystems, shaping narratives to influence perceptions and manipulate public opinion. The consequences of misattribution, whether intentional or unintentional, can lead to diplomatic tensions, miscalculations, and the potential for cyber conflicts to escalate into broader geopolitical crises.

Addressing these challenges necessitates a comprehensive and adaptive approach. Recommendations include the establishment of transparent attribution processes, international collaboration on cyber threat intelligence, and the development of crisis de-escalation protocols. These measures aim to enhance the accuracy of attributions, promote information sharing among nations, and provide mechanisms for responsible crisis management, ultimately contributing to a more stable and secure cyberspace.

As the digital landscape continues to evolve, it is imperative for stakeholders to remain vigilant, continuously reassess strategies, and foster global cooperation. The nexus of disinformation, attribution, and escalation demands ongoing research, technological innovation, and diplomatic initiatives to build a resilient defense against emerging threats. By unraveling these complexities and implementing effective countermeasures, the international

community can navigate the challenges posed by cyber operations and warfare, safeguarding the integrity of information, protecting national interests, and promoting stability in the digital era.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- "CISA." *Cisa*. www.cisa.gov/sites/default/files/publications/tactics-of-disinformation_508.pdf.
- Coynash, Halya. 2014. *iwpr.net*. May 27 . Accessed May 27 , 2014. <https://iwpr.net/global-voices/russian-fake-shows-ukraine-election-body-claiming-far-right-win>.
2015. "Crash of Malaysia Airlines flight MH17." Investigation, Hague. <https://www.onderzoeksraad.nl/en/page/3546/crash-mh17-17-july-2014>.
- David E. Sanger, Nicolo Perlroh. 2021. *NEW YORK TIMES*. February 14. Accessed June 08, 2021. <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/05/14/us/politics/pipeline-hack.html>.
- David Sanger, Nicole Perlroth, Eric Shmitt. 2020. *NEW YORK TIMES*. December 14. Accessed september 09, 2021. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/12/14/us/politics/russia-hack-nsa-homeland-security-pentagon.html>.
- HULTQUIST, JOHN. 2016. *MANDIANT*. 01 07. Accessed 08 23, 2022. <https://www.mandiant.com/resources/blog/ukraine-and-sandworm-team>.
- Nakashima, Ellen. 2019. *THE WASHINGTON POST*. February 27. https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/us-cyber-command-operation-disrupted-internet-access-of-russian-troll-factory-on-day-of-2018-midterms/2019/02/26/1827fc9e-36d6-11e9-af5b-b51b7ff322e9_story.html.
2022. *NEW YORK TIMES*. march <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2022/07/02/world/europe/ukraine-nazis-russia-media.html>.
- Valeriano, Jensen. n.d. *Cyber Strategy*.
- Vittorio, Andrea. 2022. *bloomberglaw*. 01 19. <https://news.bloomberglaw.com/privacy-and-data-security/mercks-1-4-billion-insurance-win-splits-cyber-from-act-of-war>.
- ZETTER, KIM. 2016. *WIRED*. 03